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## Sorting <br> Carola Wenk

Slides courtesy of Charles Leiserson with small changes by Carola Wenk

## How fast can we sort?

All the sorting algorithms we have seen so far are comparison sorts: only use comparisons to determine the relative order of elements.

- E.g., insertion sort, merge sort, quicksort, heapsort.
The best worst-case running time that we've seen for comparison sorting is $O(n \log n)$.


## Is $O(n \log n)$ the best we can do?

Decision trees can help us answer this question.

## $\therefore$ <br> Decision-tree example



Each internal node is labeled $a_{i}: a_{j}$ for $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

- The left subtree shows subsequent comparisons if $a_{i}<a_{j}$.
- The right subtree shows subsequent comparisons if $a_{i} \geq a_{j}$.


## Decision-tree example

Sort $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\rangle$ $=\langle 9,4,6\rangle$ :


Each internal node is labeled $a_{i}: a_{j}$ for $i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

- The left subtree shows subsequent comparisons if $a_{i}<a_{j}$.
- The right subtree shows subsequent comparisons if $a_{i} \geq a_{j}$.
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## Decision-tree example

Sort $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\rangle$
$=\langle 9,4,6\rangle$ :


Each leaf contains a permutation $\langle\pi(1), \pi(2), \ldots, \pi(n)\rangle$ to indicate that the ordering $a_{\pi(1)} \leq a_{\pi(2)} \leq \cdots \leq a_{\pi(\mathrm{n})}$ has been established.
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## Decision-tree model



A decision tree models the execution of any comparison sorting algorithm:

- One tree per input size $n$.
- The tree contains all possible comparisons (= if-branches) that could be executed for any input of size $n$.
- The tree contains all comparisons along all possible instruction traces (= control flows) for all inputs of size $n$.
- For one input, only one path to a leaf is executed.
- Running time $=$ length of the path taken.
- Worst-case running time $=$ height of tree.


## Lower bound for comparison sorting

Theorem. Any decision tree that can sort $n$ elements must have height $\Omega(n \log n)$.
Proof. The tree must contain $\geq n$ ! leaves, since there are $n$ ! possible permutations. A height- $h$ binary tree has $\leq 2^{h}$ leaves. Thus, $n!\leq 2^{h}$.

```
\thereforeh\geqlog(n!) (log is mono. increasing)
    \geqlog((n/e\mp@subsup{)}{}{n})\quad\mathrm{ (Stirling's formula)}
    =n\operatorname{log}n-n\operatorname{log}e
    =>h\in\Omega(n logn)

\section*{Sorting in linear time}

Counting sort: No comparisons between elements.
- Input: \(A[1 \ldots n]\), where \(A[j] \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}\).
- Output: \(B[1 \ldots n]\), sorted.
- Auxiliary storage: \(C[1 \ldots k]\).
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\section*{Counting-sort example}








\section*{\(\therefore\) Analysis}


\section*{Running time}

If \(k=O(n)\), then counting sort takes \(\Theta(n)\) time.
- But, sorting takes \(\Omega(n \log n)\) time!
- Where's the fallacy?

Answer:
- Comparison sorting takes \(\Omega(n \log n)\) time.
- Counting sort is not a comparison sort.
- In fact, not a single comparison between elements occurs!

\section*{Radix sort}
- Origin: Herman Hollerith's card-sorting machine for the 1890 U.S. Census. (See Appendix 回.)
- Digit-by-digit sort.
- Hollerith's original (bad) idea: sort on most-significant digit first.
- Good idea: Sort on least-significant digit first with an auxiliary stable sorting algorithm (like counting sort).

Operation of radix sort



\section*{Correctness of radix sort}

Induction on digit position
- Assume that the numbers are sorted by their low-order \(t-1\) digits.
- Sort on digit \(t\)
- Two numbers that differ in digit \(t\) are correctly sorted.

\section*{Correctness of radix sort}

\section*{Induction on digit position}
- Assume that the numbers are sorted by their low-order \(t-1\) digits.
- Sort on digit \(t\)
- Two numbers that differ in digit \(t\) are correctly sorted.


Two numbers equal in digit \(t\) are put in the same order as the input \(\Rightarrow\) correct order.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
- Sort \(n\) computer words of \(b\) bits each. \\
- View each word as having \(b / r\) base- \(2^{r}\) digits.
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Example: 32-bit word ( \(b=32\) )} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\(r=1: 32\) base-2 digits \\
\(\Rightarrow b / r=32\) passes of counting sort on base-2 digits
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\(r=8: 32 / 8\) base- \(2^{8}\) digits \\
\(\Rightarrow b / r=4\) passes of counting sort on base \(-2^{8}\) digits
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& r=16: 32 / 16 \text { base }-2^{16} \text { digits } \frac{16}{16} \frac{16}{\|m\| ा\|ा\| ा \| ा} \\
& \Rightarrow b / r=2 \text { passes of counting sort on base- } 2^{16} \text { digits }
\end{aligned}
\]}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Analysis of radix sort}
- Sort \(n\) computer words of \(b\) bits each.
- View each word as having \(b / r\) base \(-2^{r}\) digits.
- Assume counting sort is the auxiliary stable sort.
- Make \(b / r\) passes of counting sort on base- \(2^{r}\) digits

How many passes should we make?

\section*{Analysis (continued)}

Recall: Counting sort takes \(\Theta(n+k)\) time to sort \(n\) numbers in the range from 0 to \(k-1\).
- If each \(b\)-bit word is broken into \(r\)-bit pieces, each pass of counting sort takes \(\Theta\left(n+2^{r}\right)\) time.
- Since there are \(b / r\) passes, we have
\[
T(n, b)=\Theta\left(\frac{b}{r}\left(n+2^{r}\right)\right)
\]
- Choose \(r\) to minimize \(T(n, b)\) :

Increasing \(r\) means fewer passes, but as \(r \gg \log n\), the time grows exponentially.

\section*{Choosing r \\ \[
T(n, b)=\Theta\left(\frac{b}{r}\left(n+2^{r}\right)\right)
\]}

Minimize \(T(n, b)\) by differentiating and setting to 0 . Or, just observe that we don't want \(2^{r} \gg n\), and there's no harm asymptotically in choosing \(r\) as large as possible subject to this constraint.
Choosing \(r=\log n\) implies \(T(n, b)=\Theta(b n / \log n)\).

\section*{Radix Sort with optimized \(r\)}
- Assume counting sort is the auxiliary stable sort.
- Sort \(n\) computer words of \(b\) bits each.

The runtime of radix sort is: \(T(n, b)=\Theta(b n / \log n)\)
- Example:

For numbers in the range from 0 to \(n^{d}-1\), we have \(b=d \log n \Rightarrow\) radix sort runs in \(\Theta(d n)\) time.
- Notice that counting sort runs in \(\mathrm{O}(n+k)\) time, where all numbers are in the range 1 through \(k\).

\section*{Conclusions}

In practice, radix sort is fast for large inputs, as well as simple to code and maintain.
Example (32-bit numbers):
- At most 3 passes when sorting \(\geq 2000\) numbers.
- Merge sort and quicksort do at least \(\lceil\log 2000\rceil\) \(=11\) passes.
Downside: Unlike quicksort, radix sort displays little locality of reference, and thus a well-tuned quicksort fares better on modern processors, which feature steep memory hierarchies.

\section*{Appendix: Punched-card technology}
- Herman Hollerith (1860-1929)
- Punched cards
- Hollerith's tabulating system
- Operation of the sorter
- Origin of radix sort
- "Modern" IBM card

\section*{Herman Hollerith (1860-1929)}
- The 1880 U.S. Census took almost 10 years to process.
- While a lecturer at MIT, Hollerith
 prototyped punched-card technology.
- His machines, including a "card sorter," allowed the 1890 census total to be reported in 6 weeks.
- He founded the Tabulating Machine Company in 1911, which merged with other companies in 1924 to form International Business Machines.

\section*{Punched cards}
- Punched card = data record.
- Hole = value .
- Algorithm = machine + human operator.


Replica of punch card from the 1900 U.S. census. [Howells 2000]


\section*{Hollerith's} tabulating system
- Pantograph card punch
- Hand-press reader
- Dial counters
- Sorting box


\section*{Operation of the sorter}
- An operator inserts a card into the press.
- Pins on the press reach through the punched holes to make electrical contact with mercuryfilled cups beneath the card.
- Whenever a particular digit value is punched, the lid of the corresponding sorting bin lifts.
- The operator deposits the card into the bin and closes the lid.

- When all cards have been processed, the front panel is opened, and the cards are collected in order, yielding one pass of a stable sort. 2/5/09 CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms

\section*{Origin of radix sort}

Hollerith's original 1889 patent alludes to a most-significant-digit-first radix sort:
"The most complicated combinations can readily be counted with comparatively few counters or relays by first assorting the cards according to the first items entering into the combinations, then reassorting each group according to the second item entering into the combination, and so on, and finally counting on a few counters the last item of the combination for each group of cards."
Least-significant-digit-first radix sort seems to be a folk invention originated by machine operators.

\section*{"Modern" IBM card}
- One character per column.
```

9123456789RECDEFGH1JLLIMJPQRSTUYHXYZ INTRODUCTON TO ALGORITHMS 09/24/2081
H|H1!
HIIIIII
|!!!!!!!!

```


```

22\2222z22<br>2222222<br>2222222\22222222222222222222222222222222<br>22<br>2<br>2222222222

```

```

4444\44444444\4444<444\444444\444444444<4\1/444444444444444\444444\444444444444
55555\5555555<br>55555555|5555555\555555\5555555<br>55555555555555555555555555555555
665666\6666666|6666666|6666666|6666666<br>666\666|666|666666666666666666666666
7777777177777777\77777777177777771777777777777777777777177777777777777777777777777
0000000C\00000000\00000000\10000000\000000000000000000000000\00000000000000000000

```

So, that's why text windows have 80 columns!```

