CS 5633 -- Spring 2005



Flow Networks

Carola Wenk

Slides courtesy of Charles Leiserson with small changes by Carola Wenk



Flow networks

Definition. A *flow network* is a directed graph G = (V, E) with two distinguished vertices: a *source s* and a *sink t*. Each edge $(u, v) \in E$ has a nonnegative *capacity* c(u, v). If $(u, v) \notin E$, then c(u, v) = 0.



2



Flow networks

Definition. A *positive flow* on *G* is a function $p: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following: • *Capacity constraint:* For all $u, v \in V$, $0 \le p(u, v) \le c(u, v)$. • *Flow conservation:* For all $u \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$, $\sum p(u, v) = 0$

$$\sum_{v\in V} p(u,v) - \sum_{v\in V} p(v,u) = 0.$$

The *value* of a flow is the net flow out of the source:

$$\sum_{v\in V} p(s,v) - \sum_{v\in V} p(v,s).$$



Flow conservation (like Kirchoff's current law):

- Flow into u is 2 + 1 = 3.
- Flow out of u is 0 + 1 + 2 = 3.

The value of this flow is 1 - 0 + 2 = 3.



Maximum-flow problem: Given a flow network G, find a flow of maximum value on G.



The value of the maximum flow is 4.

4/12/05

CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms



Flow cancellation

Without loss of generality, positive flow goes either from u to v, or from v to u, but not both.





A notational simplification

IDEA: Work with the net flow between two vertices, rather than with the positive flow.

Definition. A (net) flow on G is a function f: V×V → R satisfying the following:
Capacity constraint: For all u, v ∈ V, f(u, v) ≤ c(u, v).
Flow conservation: For all u ∈ V \{s, t},

• Skew symmetry: For all $u, v \in V$,

$$f(u, v) = -f(v, u).$$



5

Equivalence of definitions

Theorem. The two definitions are equivalent.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let f(u, v) = p(u, v) - p(v, u).

- *Capacity constraint:* Since $p(u, v) \le c(u, v)$ and $p(v, u) \ge 0$, we have $f(u, v) \le c(u, v)$.
- Flow conservation:

$$\sum_{v \in V} f(u, v) = \sum_{v \in V} (p(u, v) - p(v, u))$$
$$= \sum_{v \in V} p(u, v) - \sum_{v \in V} p(v, u)$$

• Skew symmetry:

$$f(u, v) = p(u, v) - p(v, u) = -(p(v, u) - p(u, v)) = -f(v, u).$$



(⇐) Let

 $p(u, v) = \begin{cases} f(u, v) & \text{if } f(u, v) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } f(u, v) \le 0. \end{cases}$

- *Capacity constraint:* By definition, $p(u, v) \ge 0$. Since $f(u, v) \le c(u, v)$, it follows that $p(u, v) \le c(u, v)$.
- *Flow conservation:* If f(u, v) > 0, then p(u, v) p(v, u) = f(u, v). If $f(u, v) \le 0$, then p(u, v) p(v, u) = -f(v, u) = f(u, v) by skew symmetry. Therefore,

$$\sum_{v \in V} p(u,v) - \sum_{v \in V} p(v,u) = \sum_{v \in V} f(u,v). \quad \square$$

4/12/05

CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms



Definition. The *value* of a flow f, denoted by |f|, is given by

$$|f| = \sum_{v \in V} f(s, v)$$
$$= f(s, V).$$

Implicit summation notation: A set used in an arithmetic formula represents a sum over the elements of the set.

• **Example** — flow conservation: f(u, V) = 0 for all $u \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$.



9

Simple properties of flow

Lemma. 1. f(X, X) = 0, 2. f(X, Y) = -f(Y, X), 3. $f(X \cup Y, Z) = f(X, Z) + f(Y, Z)$ if $X \cap Y = \emptyset$.

Theorem. |f| = f(V, t). *Proof.*

 $\begin{aligned} |f| &= f(s, V) & 3. \\ &= f(V, V) - f(V \setminus \{s\}, V) & 1., 2. \\ &= f(V, V \setminus \{s\}) & 2., 3. \\ &= f(V, t) + f(V, V \setminus \{s, t\}) \text{ Flow conservation} \\ &= f(V, t). \end{aligned}$







Another characterization of flow value

Lemma. For any flow f and any cut (S, T), we have |f| = f(S, T). *Proof.* f(S, T) = f(S, V) - f(S, S) = f(S, V) $= f(S, V) + f(S \setminus \{s\}, V)$

$$=f(s, V)$$

= | f|.



c(S, T) = (2+3) + (0+1+2+3)= 11

3.3

2.2

2:2

flow value

Theorem. The value of any flow is bounded above by the capacity of any cut: $|f| \le c(S,T)$.

Proof.

|f| = f(S,T)= $\sum_{u \in S} \sum_{v \in T} f(u,v)$ $\leq \sum_{u \in S} \sum_{v \in T} c(u,v)$ = c(S,T).

CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms

17



Augmenting paths

Definition. Any path from *s* to *t* in G_f is an *augmenting path* in *G* with respect to *f*. The flow value can be increased along an augmenting path *p* by $c_f(p) = \min_{(u,v) \in p} \{c_f(u,v)\}$.





Residual network

Definition. Let *f* be a flow on G = (V, E). The *residual network* $G_f(V, E_f)$ is the graph with strictly positive *residual capacities* $c_f(u, v) = c(u, v) - f(u, v) > 0.$ Edges in E_f admit more flow. **Example:** $G: u \xrightarrow{3:5} G_f: u \xrightarrow{4} v$

CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms

Lemma. $|E_f| \le 2|E|$.



Max-flow, min-cut theorem

Theorem. The following are equivalent:

- 1. |f| = c(S, T) for some cut (S, T). \leftarrow min-cut
- 2. f is a maximum flow.
- 3. *f* admits no augmenting paths.

Proof.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Since $|f| \le c(S, T)$ for any cut (*S*, *T*) (by the theorem from 3 slides back), the assumption that |f| = c(S, T) implies that *f* is a maximum flow.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): If there were an augmenting path, the flow value could be increased, contradicting the maximality of *f*.

18

Proof (continued)

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Define $S = \{v \in V : \text{ there exists a path in } G_f\}$ from s to v}, and let $T = V \setminus S$. Since f admits no augmenting paths, there is no path from s to t in G_f . Hence, $s \in S$ and $t \in T$, and thus (S, T) is a cut. Consider any vertices $u \in S$ and $v \in T$.



We must have $c_f(u, v) = 0$, since if $c_f(u, v) > 0$, then $v \in S$, not $v \in T$ as assumed. Thus, f(u, v) = c(u, v), since $c_f(u, v)$ = c(u, v) - f(u, v). Summing over all $u \in S$ and $v \in T$ yields f(S, T) = c(S, T), and since |f| = f(S, T), the theorem follows. 21

```
4/12/05
```

CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms



Ford-Fulkerson max-flow algorithm

Algorithm:

 $f[u, v] \leftarrow 0$ for all $u, v \in V$ while an augmenting path p in G wrt f exists **do** augment f by $c_f(p)$

Can be slow:





Ford-Fulkerson max-flow algorithm

Algorithm:

 $f[u, v] \leftarrow 0$ for all $u, v \in V$ while an augmenting path p in G wrt f exists **do** augment f by $c_f(p)$

Can be slow:





Ford-Fulkerson max-flow algorithm

Algorithm:

 $f[u, v] \leftarrow 0$ for all $u, v \in V$ while an augmenting path p in G wrt f exists **do** augment f by $c_f(p)$



algorithm

Algorithm:



Can be slow:



4/12/05

CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms

25

algorithm

Algorithm:



Can be slow:





Ford-Fulkerson max-flow algorithm

Algorithm:

 $f[u, v] \leftarrow 0$ for all $u, v \in V$ while an augmenting path p in G wrt f exists do augment f by $c_f(p)$

Can be slow:





Ford-Fulkerson max-flow algorithm

Algorithm:

 $f[u, v] \leftarrow 0$ for all $u, v \in V$ while an augmenting path p in G wrt f exists do augment f by $c_f(p)$



26

algorithm

Algorithm:





algorithm

Algorithm:

 $\overline{f}[u, v] \leftarrow 0$ for all $u, v \in V$ while an augmenting path p in G wrt f exists do augment f by $c_f(p)$

Runtime:

- Let $|f^*|$ be the value of a maximum flow, and assume it is an integral value.
- The initialization takes O(|E|)
- There are at most $|f^*|$ iterations of the loop
- Find an augmenting path with DFS in O(|V| + |E|) time
- Each augmentation takes O(|V|) time

```
\Rightarrow O(|E| \cdot |f^*|) \text{ in total}_{CS 5633 Am}
```

CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms



Edmonds-Karp algorithm

Edmonds and Karp noticed that many people's implementations of Ford-Fulkerson augment along a *breadth-first augmenting path*: a shortest path in G_f from *s* to *t* where each edge has weight 1. These implementations would always run relatively fast.

Since a breadth-first augmenting path can be found in O(V+E) time, their analysis, which provided the first polynomial-time bound on maximum flow, focuses on bounding the number of flow augmentations.

(In independent work, Dinic also gave polynomial-time bounds.)



Running time of Edmonds-Karp

• One can show that the number of flow augmentations (i.e., the number of iterations of the while loop) is O(VE).

- Breadth-first search runs in O(V+E) time
- All other bookkeeping is O(V) per augmentation.

 \Rightarrow The Edmonds-Karp maximum-flow algorithm runs in $O(VE^2)$ time.

🖪 Monotonicity lemma

Lemma. Let $\delta(v) = \delta_f(s, v)$ be the breadth-first distance from *s* to *v* in *G*_{*f*}. During the Edmonds-Karp algorithm, $\delta(v)$ increases monotonically.

Proof. Suppose that *f* is a flow on *G*, and augmentation produces a new flow *f'*. Let $\delta'(v) = \delta_{f'}(s, v)$. We'll show that $\delta'(v) \ge \delta(v)$ by induction on $\delta(v)$. For the base case, $\delta'(s) = \delta(s) = 0$.

For the inductive case, consider a breadth-first path $s \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow u \rightarrow v$ in $G_{f'}$. We must have $\delta'(v) = \delta'(u) + 1$, since subpaths of shortest paths are shortest paths. Certainly, $(u, v) \in E_{f'}$, and now consider two cases depending on whether $(u, v) \in E_f$.

4/12/05

CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms

33



Case: $(u, v) \notin E_f$.

Since $(u, v) \in E_{f'}$, the augmenting path *p* that produced f' from *f* must have included (v, u). Moreover, *p* is a breadth-first path in G_f :

 $p = s \to \cdots \to v \to u \to \cdots \to t.$

Thus, we have

 $\delta(v) = \delta(u) - 1 \qquad \text{(breadth-first path)}$ $\leq \delta'(u) - 1 \qquad \text{(induction)}$ $= \delta'(v) - 2 \qquad \text{(breadth-first path)}$ $< \delta'(v) ,$

thereby establishing monotonicity for this case, too.



Case: $(u, v) \in E_f$. We have

$$\begin{split} \delta(v) &\leq \delta(u) + 1 & \text{(triangle inequality)} \\ &\leq \delta'(u) + 1 & \text{(induction)} \\ &= \delta'(v) & \text{(breadth-first path),} \end{split}$$

and thus monotonicity of $\delta(v)$ is established.

4/12/05

CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms



Counting flow augmentations

34

Theorem. The number of flow augmentations in the Edmonds-Karp algorithm (Ford-Fulkerson with breadth-first augmenting paths) is O(VE).

Proof. Let *p* be an augmenting path, and suppose that we have $c_f(u, v) = c_f(p)$ for edge $(u, v) \in p$. Then, we say that (u, v) is *critical*, and it disappears from the residual graph after flow augmentation.



Counting flow augmentations

Theorem. The number of flow augmentations in the Edmonds-Karp algorithm (Ford-Fulkerson with breadth-first augmenting paths) is O(VE).

Proof. Let *p* be an augmenting path, and suppose that the residual capacity of edge $(u, v) \in p$ is $c_f(u, v) = c_f(p)$. Then, we say (u, v) is *critical*, and it disappears from the residual graph after flow augmentation.

Example:







Counting flow augmentations (continued)

The first time an edge (u, v) is critical, we have $\delta(v) =$ $\delta(u) + 1$, since p is a breadth-first path. We must wait until (v, u) is on an augmenting path before (u, v) can be critical again. Let δ' be the distance function when (v, u) is on an augmenting path. Then, we have $\delta'(u) = \delta'(v) + 1$ (breadth-first path) (monotonicity) $\geq \delta(v) + 1$ (breadth-first path). $=\delta(u)+2$ $\delta(u) = 5$ **Example:**

 $\delta(v) = 6$



37

Counting flow augmentations (continued)

38

The first time an edge (u, v) is critical, we have $\delta(v) =$ $\delta(u) + 1$, since p is a breadth-first path. We must wait until (v, u) is on an augmenting path before (u, v) can be critical again. Let δ' be the distance function when (v, u) is on an augmenting path. Then, we have



(continued)

The first time an edge (u, v) is critical, we have $\delta(v) = \delta(u) + 1$, since *p* is a breadth-first path. We must wait until (v, u) is on an augmenting path before (u, v) can be critical again. Let δ' be the distance function when (v, u) is on an augmenting path. Then, we have

 $\delta'(u) = \delta'(v) + 1 \quad \text{(breadth-first path)}$ $\geq \delta(v) + 1 \quad \text{(monotonicity)}$ $= \delta(u) + 2 \quad \text{(breadth-first path)}.$ Example: $\delta(u) \geq 7 \quad u$

CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms

 $\delta(v) \ge 6$

4/12/05

ALGORITHMS

Counting flow augmentations (continued)

The first time an edge (u, v) is critical, we have $\delta(v) = \delta(u) + 1$, since *p* is a breadth-first path. We must wait until (v, u) is on an augmenting path before (u, v) can be critical again. Let δ' be the distance function when (v, u) is on an augmenting path. Then, we have $\delta'(u) = \delta'(v) + 1$ (breadth-first path) $\geq \delta(v) + 1$ (monotonicity) $= \delta(u) + 2$ (breadth-first path).



Counting flow augmentations (continued) The first time an edge (u, v) is critical, we have $\delta(v) =$ $\delta(u) + 1$, since p is a breadth-first path. We must wait until (v, u) is on an augmenting path before (u, v) can be critical again. Let δ' be the distance function when (v, u) is on an augmenting path. Then, we have $\delta'(u) = \delta'(v) + 1$ (breadth-first path) $\geq \delta(v) + 1$ (monotonicity) (breadth-first path). $=\delta(u)+2$ $\delta(u) \geq 7$ **Example:** $\delta(v) \ge 6$ 4/12/05 CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms 42



41

Running time of Edmonds-Karp

Distances start out nonnegative, never decrease, and are at most |V| - 1 until the vertex becomes unreachable. Thus, (u, v) occurs as a critical edge O(V) times, because $\delta(v)$ increases by at least 2 between occurrences. Since the residual graph contains O(E) edges, the number of flow augmentations is O(VE).

Corollary. The Edmonds-Karp maximum-flow algorithm runs in $O(VE^2)$ time.

Proof. Breadth-first search runs in O(E) time, and all other bookkeeping is O(V) per augmentation.



- The asymptotically fastest algorithm to date for maximum flow, due to King, Rao, and Tarjan, runs in O(VE log_{E/(V lg V)}V) time.
- If we allow running times as a function of edge weights, the fastest algorithm for maximum flow, due to Goldberg and Rao, runs in time O(min {V^{2/3}, E^{1/2}} ⋅ E log (V²/E + 2) ⋅ log C),
- where C is the maximum capacity of any edge in the graph.

4/1	2/05	

CS 5633 Analysis of Algorithms

45